

Zero-Based Regulation Prospective Analysis

Agency Name: Idaho Department of Lands

Rule Docket Number: 20-0314-2401

1. What is the specific legal authority for this proposed rule?

Statute Section (include direct link)	Is the authority mandatory or discretionary?
Idaho Code Title 58, Chapter 3 - Appraisal, Lease, and Sale of Land	Discretionary
Idaho Code Title 58, Chapter 104(6) - State Land Board – Powers and Duties	Discretionary
Idaho Code Title 58, Chapter 105 - Director	Discretionary

2. Define the specific problem that the proposed rule is attempting to solve? Can the problem be addressed by non-regulatory measures?

IDAPA 20.03.14 provides guidance for grazing, farming, conservation, noncommercial recreation, and communication site leasing on state lands. The rules include guidance regarding administrative appeals, the application process, valuation of improvements, and conflict auctions. Of particular importance are the rules regarding conflict auctions, which are typically not outlined in a lease. These rules ensure a smooth and transparent auction process and eliminate time consuming improvement valuation disputes and ensure committed parties participate at auction. Many of these rules were developed in close conjunction with, and at the request of the livestock industry. The proposed changes seek to comply with Executive Order 2020-01.

3. How have other jurisdictions approached the problem this proposed rule intends to address?

a. Is this proposed rule related to any existing federal law?

Federal citation	Summary of Law (include direct link)	How is the proposed Idaho rule more stringent? (if applicable)

b. How does this proposed rule compare to other state laws?

State	Summary of Law (include direct link)	How is the proposed Idaho rule more stringent? (if applicable)
Washington		
Oregon		
Nevada		
Utah		
Wyoming		
Montana		
Alaska		
South Dakota		

c. If the Idaho proposed rule has a more stringent requirement than the federal government or the reviewed states, describe the evidence base or unique circumstances that justifies the enhanced requirement:

4. What evidence is there that the rule, as proposed, will solve the problem?

5. What is the anticipated impact of the proposed rule on various stakeholders? Include, how will you involve them in the negotiated rulemaking process?

Category	Potential Impact
Fiscal impact to the state General Fund, any dedicated fund, or federal fund	No fiscal impact to the General Fund, Earnings Reserve, any other dedicated fund, or federal funds.
Impact to Idaho businesses, with special consideration for small businesses	No impact to Idaho businesses.
Impact to any local government in Idaho	No impact to local governments.

6. What cumulative regulatory volume does this proposed rule add?

Category	Impact
Net change in word count	
Net change in restrictive word count	